



Angelica Perduta &lt;rsperduta@gmail.com&gt;

---

**mother's estate**

10 messages

---

**G.C.Knight** <admins@gcknight.co.nz>  
To: rsperduta@gmail.com

Fri, Jan 16, 2015 at 1:19 PM

Hello Angelica

I've had a preliminary look through the material you dropped off. Initial thoughts -

1 The High Court senior registrar has approved "letters of administration with will annexed". That is the usual way of getting administration of an estate under way where there is a will which doesn't meet all the formal requirements. A will which accidentally appoints trustees rather than executors is a common error.

2 I can't tell from the papers who have been appointed as administrators, but I assume it's a couple of your siblings but not including you. I haven't delved into the court's own file because I'm not officially acting in any capacity yet.

3 If you didn't want those siblings as administrators, the appropriate course would have been to file a caveat in the court objecting to that appointment, and asking instead that a trustee company be appointed. It's too late now, but you have other courses available.

4 Without caveats having been filed, the registrar is entitled to grant administration to those who are applying for that. There's nothing obviously wrong on the face of it with the result, nothing that suggests that corners have been cut.

5 This next point is important. The executors have a statutory duty to correctly administer the estate. That may include bringing into account funds that have been used by family members, and to ascertain whether there were valid gifts made or whether persons holding power of attorney have acted in their own interests and may in fact owe money to the estate. If the administrators fail to do so, beneficiaries can ask the court to require accounts to be filed, and even to remove the administrators. It is not uncommon for the court to remove administrators where they have a conflict of interests with beneficiaries. A trustee company would be appointed to replace them.

6 In short, just because some siblings have got themselves appointed as administrators, it is not game over.

7 I don't think there were grounds to complain to the Law Society, over any steps taken by Cruickshank Pryde or others. You need to forget that course.

8 In short, it is still open to you to go into your mother's account history, to ensure that there is an overall fair distribution. It won't be easy, but it's do-able.

Let me know if you want to engage me to follow these lines of enquiry. We can talk about the terms of engagement, and legal costs.

Regards

Gary Knight

---

**Angelica Perduta** <rsperduta@gmail.com>  
To: "G.C.Knight" <admins@gcknight.co.nz>

Fri, Jan 16, 2015 at 6:28 PM

Dear Garry,

Yes I would like very much if you are willing to take it further and see what we can achieve. I presume you have gathered that I am the one referred to as Chris(topher), but I don't want that name anymore.

I was unable to get anyone to represent me and so I wrote to the court myself, but they just sent it back saying it wasn't on "form 32" and that my evidence had not been done by affidavit and what-ever... after so many years of them harassing me in every way and all the earth-quake stress and the psychological damage that was done to my child... I just couldn't cope with it on my own any more. I'm still rather upset at how Cruickshank Pryde just would not tell me what was going on, and that they so blatantly lied to the lawyers I did hire. They maybe were legally correct but I will not be recommend them to anyone ever.

I don't know how far bank records go back, but as they managed to exclude me I am not even allowed to inquire about mum's assets, let alone the ones they transferred to themselves. The latter cannot have been "gifts" because mum was not aware of the transfers, and not mentally competent to make such gifts. IMO she was not competent to sign the POA (which Robin's e-mail confirms when he says they transferred the assets to prevent her from getting ripped off again).

I do have records of them squabbling about mum's advances among themselves. Marc has operated a "family trust" I believe set up for him by Simon Stammer-Smith. It was entirely funded with money from mum and all mums children are beneficiaries which is how he persuaded her it was just an investment. He used it to build himself a mansion. One witness says the windows alone, cost \$250.000... IMO it was his clever trick to have full use of those funds even after mum died... thus effectively cheating his siblings out of some of their inheritance.

Now mum had been keeping records that she called "tegoeden" (Dutch word for "credits") for years and years of advances she did make to some. IMO it indicates that she did not intend those to be gifts either. That is why she referred to "debts to the estate" in her Will, but since my siblings cleared out her house I only really have evidence that records existed and that they spent about 10 years arguing about them.

So please let me know what to do and I will do whatever you say, because I'm not happy to let anyone get away with abusing me so. BTW I got a police check on myself just to find out what is going on, but it shows I have a clean slate. I can send you a copy if you want.

Thank you ever so much for your efficient and prompt response... I am really not used to that kind of service... but I like it... I like it a lot ;o)

Yours sincerely,  
Angelica Perduta

[Quoted text hidden]

—  
~~~ PEr aRDUa ad asTrA ~~~  
(Through adversity to the stars)

---

**Angelica Perduta** <rsperduta@gmail.com>  
To: "G.C.Knight" <admins@gcknight.co.nz>

Sun, Jan 18, 2015 at 9:45 PM

p.s. I just wanted to add... you don't have to officially "represent" me if you don't want to... all I need is a competent professional to tell me what to do... what forms to use... who to write to, and what to say. I can pay you cash on the spot each time you give me advice and nobody needs to know... unless you want them to.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Angelica Perduta** <rsperduta@gmail.com>  
To: Jim <gemrox@xnet.co.nz>

Wed, Jan 28, 2015 at 10:07 PM

Dear Jim,

I have sent an authority to act for me in the post.  
I signed it with both my new name and my old name

A lawyer was recommended to me by a friend recently.  
I wrote to him asking if he could help and he phoned me, agreeing to look at my documents.  
He advised me as shown in the e-mail history below, you can also see my two follow ups, but Mr. Knight has failed to acknowledge them.  
I called him twice on 03 379 8780.  
Both times I got his answer phone.  
The first time I left a message just asking if he got my email.  
The second time I asked him if he can let me know a convenient time that I can come collect my file.  
He has not done so yet... maybe he is away.  
I have not given him explicit authority to act for me, but he still has the file I was hoping to send to you.

Note: I do not agree with Mr. Knights criticism of my having made a complaint to the Law Society, but I have not discussed that with him, as there is no point going over that now again.  
Had I not done so then I would not have know about anything that was going on at all.  
The Law Society does advertise that they offer said "complaints service", so of course I can ask for an investigation when I am excluded from communications..

Robin's e-mail was mostly about money that our mother had documented as advances made to some of us, but the important thing is that he acknowledges taking her assets to prevent her being "scammed" due to her declining mental capacity. She was apparently swindled out of payment for her house "Cantuccio" when she left Italy, and I don't know anything about what happened in Nelson (New Zealand).

The rest of it is about "tegoeden", i.e. advances mum made to some but that they later claimed were all "gifts" (with exception of the one I had received... of course).  
They officially state they were not documented although they had spent about 10 years squabbling about mum's documentation.  
I only have a few copies of her notes including one explaining where all the documents were actually kept in her house.

Robin and Marc would be the ones who had the full information about subsequent transfers they made to themselves on their own authority.  
They remain unwilling to disclose any documentation other than their rough guesses of what it might have been worth and say those were not part of her estate because they took the liberty of distributing them while she yet lived, but not willing to say when, what, and to whom.

Note: people have impersonated me with look-a-like e-mail accounts and falsified sender address.  
If you do see anything you think suspicious please confirm with me.  
I have obtained official confirmation that there is no criminal record against me, so if you do hear allegations, they are false.

I will try to get my file back, but failing that I can print things out again.

Sincerely,  
Angelica

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Jim** <gemrox@xnet.co.nz>  
To: Angelica Perduta <rsperduta@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 29, 2015 at 12:17 AM

You were correct in going to the law society complaints, that is what it there for. Angelica, has there ever been a true accounting of the advances made by your Mother?

**From:** Angelica Perduta  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 28, 2015 10:07 PM  
**To:** Jim  
**Subject:** Fwd: mother's estate

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Jim** <gemrox@xnet.co.nz>  
To: Angelica Perduta <rsperduta@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 29, 2015 at 12:23 AM

I see the confusion. Knight is talking of letters of Administration when he actually means probate, which is the right to administer. So there may not be administration as to your mother's capacity

**From:** Angelica Perduta  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 28, 2015 10:07 PM  
**To:** Jim  
**Subject:** Fwd: mother's estate

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Jim** <gemrox@xnet.co.nz>  
To: Angelica Perduta <rsperduta@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 29, 2015 at 3:40 PM

There is no record of your brother applying for orders to care for your mothers affairs for the reason of diminished capacity

**From:** Angelica Perduta  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 28, 2015 10:07 PM  
**To:** Jim  
**Subject:** Fwd: mother's estate

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Angelica Perduta** <rsperduta@gmail.com>  
To: Jim <gemrox@xnet.co.nz>

Thu, Jan 29, 2015 at 7:17 PM

In 2001 Marc obtained a comprehensive power of attorney.  
<http://angelica.x10host.com/MyPictures/62-marcPOA.jpg>

The words make clear mum was anticipating becoming mentally incapable.  
I believe she would have been very afraid about who would look after her.

There is also mention of unspecified "gifting program".

Apparently then my sister (Nicknamed T. or Teddi but real name Marie Marguerite Scaife) then emigrated mum to a rental flat in the Netherlands so that she would not be a New Zealand resident. Thus no gift duties would be due while the three of them went on grabbing spree.

They sold her home and took all her assets.. they did not ever produce any records on request.

The way I found out what had happened is explained in this youtube [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6-AIP-rNgt4&list=PLXoEMAzXCNC\\_UA1GAVkDRq4asb6jwZs0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6-AIP-rNgt4&list=PLXoEMAzXCNC_UA1GAVkDRq4asb6jwZs0)

(I was the "man" mentioned there as I had been given psycho therapy to persuade me not to be transgender many years earlier and I was unhappily living a gender stereo type role while denying my true self... but that's perhaps getting a bit off topic).

Once my divorce was over my siblings got me to bring mum back to Australia on pretext that she could live with my sister.. but AUstralian authoriyties declined her residence application on grounds of her mental incapacity. So she was returned to a rest home in Frankton near Queenstown where Marc lives.

An e-mail from Marc shows they were trying to swindle the NZ government into subsidizing her care... which would not have been applicable if they disclosed the assets they had lifted. I was at the time homeless and had to use internet cafe to try communicate with them... while Marc just gave me one excuse after the other. <http://angelica.x10host.com/MyPictures/26-marc-subsidies.jpg>

Note... this thing has gone on for a long time and I have been up against three of the most deceitful, dishonest and amoral people I have ever known.

My new surname "Perduta" is an Italian word (I was raised in Italy). It means "the lost girl" because that's who I am. I do not want to consider my biological siblings as "family"... but once again see I digress... it's all too emotional for me and that is why I really need you.

Sincerely,  
Angelica

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Angelica Perduta** <[rsperduta@gmail.com](mailto:rsperduta@gmail.com)>  
To: Jim <[gemrox@xnet.co.nz](mailto:gemrox@xnet.co.nz)>

Thu, Jan 29, 2015 at 7:26 PM

p.s. I have recovered the file of hard copy from the non responsive Mr. Knight.

It may not be worth sending as I can simply link you to all the documents online, and upload any that are missing.

Also my file is not complete as my accounts and computer were hacked on several occasions... IMHO that was the security services, responding to false allegations of pedophilia & rape that I have since traced to my brother Robin. None the less they carried on intercepting my broadband even after CY&F declared it a result of malevolent defamation:

<http://angelica.x10host.com/MyPictures/CYF-27-mask.jpg>

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Angelica Perduta** <[rsperduta@gmail.com](mailto:rsperduta@gmail.com)>  
To: Jim <[gemrox@xnet.co.nz](mailto:gemrox@xnet.co.nz)>

Thu, Jan 29, 2015 at 7:29 PM

oops that link gives the wrong document... some kind of glitch there... try this one <http://angelica.x10host.com/MyPictures/CYF-27-mask.jpg>

[Quoted text hidden]